arXiv:1207.2015v1 [math-ph] 9 Jul 2012

Locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras

F. Bagarello, M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and C. Trapani

Abstract

If $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a C^*-normed algebra and τ a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{A}_0$ making its multiplication separately continuous, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ (completion of $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$) is a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$, but it is not necessarily a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ (completion of $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$). In this article, stimulated by physical examples, we introduce the notion of a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra, aiming at the investigation of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$; in particular, we study its structure, $*$-representation theory and functional calculus.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we continue the study introduced in [7] and carried over in [13] and [8]. At this stage, it concerns the investigation of the structure of the completion of a C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$, under a locally convex topology τ "compatible" to $\|\cdot\|_0$, that makes the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_0$ separately continuous. The case when $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a C^*-algebra and τ makes the multiplication jointly continuous was considered in [7, 13], while the analogue case corresponding to separately continuous multiplication was discussed in [8], where the so-called *locally convex quasi C^*-algebras* were introduced. In this work, prompted by examples that one meets in physics, we introduce the notion of *locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras*, which is wider than that of locally convex quasi C^*-algebras, starting with a C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ and a locally convex topology τ, "compatible" to $\|\cdot\|_0$, making the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_0$ separately continuous. For example, let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a C^*-normed algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, endowed with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_0$, $\mathcal{D}$ a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and τ_{s^*} the strong*-topology on $\mathcal{M}_0$ defined by $\mathcal{D}$. Then, the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ does not leave $\mathcal{D}$ invariant, in general, and so the multiplication ax of $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_{s^*}]$ and $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is not necessarily well-defined, therefore $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_{s^*}]$ is not a locally convex quasi C^*-algebra

---

Keywords: Locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra, regular locally convex topology, strong commutatively quasi-positive element, commutatively quasi-positive element.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 46K10, 47L60.

The second author thankfully acknowledges partial support of this research by the Special Research Account: Grant Nr 70/4/5645, University of Athens.

over the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Hence, it is meaningful to study not only locally convex quasi C^*-algebras, but also locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras.

For locally convex quasi "C^*-normed algebras" we obtain analogous results to those in [8] for locally convex quasi "C^*-algebras" despite of the lack of completion and of weakening the condition (T_3) of [8].

In Section 3 we consider a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ with a "regular" locally convex topology τ and show that every unital pseudo-complete symmetric locally convex $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ such that $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \mathcal{A}[\tau] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a GB^*-algebra over the unit ball $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ of $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$. The latter algebras have been defined by G.R. Allan [2] and P.G. Dixon [12] and play an essential role in the unbounded $*$-representation theory. In Section 4 we define the notion of locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras and study their general theory, while in Section 5 we investigate the structure of commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras. In the final Section 6 we present locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras of operators and then we study questions on the $*$-representation theory of locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras and functional calculus for the "commutatively quasi-positive" elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$.

Topological quasi $*$-algebras were introduced in 1981 by G. Lassner [15, 16], for facing solutions of certain problems in quantum statistics and quantum dynamics. But only later (see [17, p. 90]) the initial definition was reformulated in the right way, having thus included many more interesting examples. Quasi $*$-algebras came in light in 1988 (see [19], as well as [20, 9, 10]), serving as important examples of partial $*$-algebras initiated by J.-P. Antoine and W. Karwowski in [4, 5]. A lot of works have been done on this topic, which can be found in the treatise [3], where the reader will also find a relevant rich literature. Partial $*$-algebras and quasi $*$-algebras keep a very prominent place in the study of unbounded operators, where the latter are the foundation stones for mathematical physics and quantum field theory (see, for instance, [3, 14, 6, 20]).

Our motivation for such studies comes, on the one hand, from the preceding discussion and the promising contribution of the powerful tool that the C^*-property offers to such studies and, on the other hand, from the physical examples of locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras in "dynamics of the BCS-Bogolubov model" [16] that will be shortly discussed in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the whole paper we consider complex algebras and we suppose that all topological spaces are Hausdorff. If an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has an identity element, this will be denoted by *1*, and an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with identity *1* will be called *unital.*

Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a C^*-normed algebra. The symbol $\|\cdot\|_0$ of the C^*-norm will also denote the corresponding topology. Let τ be a topology on $\mathcal{A}_0$ such that $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra. The topologies τ, $\|\cdot\|_0$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$ are called *compatible*, whenever for any Cauchy net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ such that $x_\alpha \to 0$ in τ, $x_\alpha \to 0$ in $\|\cdot\|_0$ [8]. The completion of $\mathcal{A}_0$ with respect to τ will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$. In the sequel, we shall call a directed family of seminorms that defines a locally convex topology τ, *a defining family of seminorms.*

A *partial $*$-algebra* is a vector space $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a vector space involution $* : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} : x \mapsto x^*$ and a partial multiplication defined on a set $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ such that:

(i) $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ implies $(y^*, x^*) \in \Gamma$;

(ii) $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ imply $(x, \lambda y_1 + \mu y_2) \in \Gamma$;

(iii) for every $(x, y) \in \Gamma$, a product $xy \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined, such that xy depends linearly on x and y and satisfies the equality $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$.

Given a pair $(x, y) \in \Gamma$, we say that x is a *left multiplier* of y and y is a *right multiplier* of x,

Quasi $*$-algebras are essential examples of partial $*$-algebras. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space and $\mathcal{A}_0$ a subspace of $\mathcal{A}$, which is also a $*$-algebra, then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *quasi $*$-algebra over* $\mathcal{A}_0$ whenever:

(i)$'$ The multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_0$ is extended on $\mathcal{A}$ as follows: The correspondences
$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A} : (a, x) \mapsto ax$ (left multiplication of x by a) and
$\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} : (x, a) \mapsto xa$ (right multiplication of x by a)
are always defined and are bilinear;

(ii)$'$ $x_1(x_2a) = (x_1x_2)a, (ax_1)x_2 = a(x_1x_2)$ and $x_1(ax_2) = (x_1a)x_2$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

(iii)$'$ the involution $*$ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ is extended on $\mathcal{A}$, denoted also by $*$, such that $(ax)^* = x^*a^*$ and $(xa)^* = a^*x^*$, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

For further information cf. [3]. If $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra, with separately continuous multiplication, its completion $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a quasi $*$-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$ with respect to the operations:

- $ax := \lim\limits_{\alpha} x_\alpha x$ (left multiplication) , $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$,
- $xa := \lim\limits_{\alpha} x x_\alpha$ (right multiplication) , $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$,

where $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ is a net in $\mathcal{A}_0$ such that $a = \tau\text{-}\lim\limits_{\alpha} x_\alpha$.

- An involution on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ like in (iii)$'$ is the continuous extension of the involution on $\mathcal{A}_0$.

A $*$-invariant subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ containing $\mathcal{A}_0$ is called a *quasi $*$-subalgebra* of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ if ax, xa belong to $\mathcal{A}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. One easily shows that $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi $*$-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is a locally convex space that contains $\mathcal{A}_0$ as a dense subspace and for every fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$, the maps $\mathcal{A}[\tau] \to \mathcal{A}[\tau]$ with $a \mapsto ax$ and $a \mapsto xa$ are continuous. An algebra of this kind is called *locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ the set of all (closable) linear operators X such that $D(X)=\mathcal{D},\ D(X^*)\supseteq\mathcal{D}$. The set $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ is a partial *-algebra with respect to the following operations: the usual sum X_1+X_2, the scalar multiplication λX, the involution $X\mapsto X^\dagger = X^*\lceil\mathcal{D}$ and the *(weak)* partial multiplication $X_1 \Box X_2 = {X_1}^{\dagger *}X_2$, defined whenever X_2 is a weak right multiplier of X_1 (we shall write $X_2\in R^{\rm w}(X_1)$ or $X_1\in L^{\rm w}(X_2)$), that is, iff $X_2\mathcal{D}\subset D({X_1}^{\dagger *})$ and ${X_1}^*\mathcal{D}\subset D({X_2}^*)$. $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ is neither associative nor semiassociative.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dense subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A *$*$-representation* π of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is a linear map from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ (see beginning of Section 4) with the following properties:
(i) π is a $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}_0$;
(ii) $\pi(a)^\dagger=\pi(a^*), \forall a\in\mathcal{A}$;
(iii) $\pi(ax)=\pi(a)\Box\pi(x)$ and $\pi(xa)=\pi(x)\Box\pi(a), \forall a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $x\in\mathcal{A}_0$, where $\Box$ is the (weak) partial multiplication of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ (ibid.) Having a $*$-representation π as before, we write $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$ in the place of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ in the place of $\mathcal{H}$. By a *(τ,τ_{s^*})-continuous $*$-representation* π of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$, we clearly mean continuity of π, when $Ł^\dagger(\mathcal{D}(\pi),\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ carries the locally convex topology τ_{s^*} (see Section 4).

In what follows, we shall need the concept of a *GB**-algebra introduced by G.R. Allan [2] (see also [12]), which we remind here. Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex $*$-algebra with identity *1* and let $\mathcal{B}^*$ denote the collection of all closed, bounded, absolutely convex subsets B of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ with the properties: $1\in B$, $B^*=B$ and $B^2\subset B$. For each $B\in\mathcal{B}^*$, the linear span $A[B]$ of B is a normed $*$-algebra under the Minkowski functional $\|\cdot\|_B$ of B. When $A[B]$ is complete for each $B\in\mathcal{B}^*$, then $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is called *pseudo-complete.* Every unital sequentially complete locally convex $*$-algebra is pseudo-complete [1, Proposition (2.6)]. A unital locally convex $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is called *symmetric* (resp. algebraically symmetric) if for every $x\in\mathcal{A}$ the element $1+x^*x$ has an Allan-bounded inverse in $\mathcal{A}$ [2, pp. 91,93] (resp. if $(1+x^*x)$ has inverse in $\mathcal{A}$). A unital symmetric pseudo-complete locally convex $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$, such that $\mathcal{B}^*$ has a greatest member, say B_0, is said to be a *GB*-algebra over* B_0. In this case, $A[B_0]$ is a C^*-algebra.

3. C^*-normed algebras with regular locally convex topology

Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a C^*-normed algebra and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ the C^*-algebra completion of $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Consider a locally convex topology τ on $\mathcal{A}_0$ with the following properties:
(T$_1$) $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra with separately continuous multiplication.
(T$_2$) $\tau\preceq\|\cdot\|_0$, with τ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ being compatible.

Then, compatibility of τ, $\|\cdot\|_0$ implies that:

- $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0] \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$;
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over the C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$, but it is not necessarily a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is not a locally convex $*$-algebra under the topology τ.

Question. Under which conditions one could have a well-defined multiplication of elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ with elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$?

We consider the case that the locally convex topology τ defined by a directed family of seminorms, say $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, satisfies in addition to the conditions (T_1) and (T_2) an extra "good" condition for the C^*-norm $\|\cdot\|_0$, called *regularity condition*, denoted by (R). That is,

(R) $\forall\ \lambda \in \mathit{\Lambda},\ \exists\ \lambda' \in \mathit{\Lambda}$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0 : p_\lambda(xy) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|x\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(y),\ \forall\ x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$.

In this regard, we have the following

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose* $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *is a* C^**-normed algebra and* τ *a locally convex topology on* $\mathcal{A}_0$ *satisfying the conditions* $(\mathrm{T}_1), (\mathrm{T}_2)$ *and the regularity condition* (R) *for* $\|\cdot\|_0$*. Let* a *be an arbitrary element in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ *and* y *an arbitrary element in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$*. Then, the left resp. right multiplication of* a *with* y *is defined by*

$$a \cdot y = \tau - \lim_{\alpha,n} x_\alpha y_n \quad \textit{resp.} \quad y \cdot a = \tau - \lim_{\alpha,n} y_n x_\alpha,$$

where $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ *is a net in* $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ *converging to* a*,* $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a sequence in* $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *converging to* y *and* $\forall\ \lambda \in \mathit{\Lambda},\ \exists\ \lambda' \in \mathit{\Lambda}$ *and* $\gamma_\lambda > 0$ *:*

$$p_\lambda(a\cdot y) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(a), p_\lambda(y.a) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(a).$$

Under this multiplication $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ *is a locally convex quasi* $*$*-algebra over the* C^**-algebra* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$*.*

The proof of Lemma 3.1 follows directly from the regularity condition (R). If $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and $\tau \preceq \|\cdot\|_0$, then it satisfies the regular condition (R) for $\|\cdot\|_0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *be a* C^**-normed algebra and* $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ *an* m^**-convex algebra satisfying conditions* (T_2) *and* (R)*. If* $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ *is a defining family of* m^**-seminorms for* τ (i.e., submultiplicative $*$-preserving seminorms) *and there is* $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ *such that* p_{λ_0} *is a norm, then* $\tau \sim \|\cdot\|_0$*, where* $\sim$ *means equivalence of the respective topologies. In particular, if* $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|]$ *is a normed* $*$*-algebra such that* $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_0$ *and* $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_0$ *are compatible, then* $\|\cdot\| \sim \|\cdot\|_0$*.*

Proof. By (T_2) and (R) we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau] \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[p_{\lambda_0}]$, which by the basic theory of C^*-algebras (see e.g., [18, Proposition 5.3]) implies that $\|x\|_0 \leq p_{\lambda_0}(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Hence, $\tau \sim \|\cdot\|_0$. □

By Lemma 3.2 there does not exist any normed $*$-algebra containing the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ properly and densely.

We now consider whether a GB^*-algebra over the unit ball $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$ exists in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ has jointly continuous multiplication and $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$ is τ-closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a GB^*-algebra over $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$, (cf. [13, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a unital C^*-normed algebra and $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ a locally convex $*$-algebra such that τ satisfies the conditions* $(\mathrm{T}_1), (\mathrm{T}_2)$*, the regularity condition* (R) *for $\|\cdot\|_0$ and makes the unit ball $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$ τ-closed. Then every algebraically symmetric locally convex $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \mathcal{A}[\tau] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a GB^*-algebra over $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$.*

Proof. The proof can be done in a similar way to that of [7, Theorem 2.2]. Here we give a simpler proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a C^*-algebra. Then we have (see, e.g., proof of [7, Lemma 2.1]):

(**1**) $(1 + a^*a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0), \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Moreover, we show that

(**2**) $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is the largest member in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0) \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose now that B is an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})$ and take $a = a^*$ in B. Let $\mathcal{C}(a)$ be the maximal commutative $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ containing a and

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \equiv (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{C}(a)) \cdot (B \cap \mathcal{C}(a)).$$

Then, clearly $\mathcal{C}_1^* = \mathcal{C}_1$; by the regular condition (R) $\mathcal{C}_1$ is τ-bounded in $\mathcal{C}(a)$, while by the commutativity of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ and $B \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ one has that $\mathcal{C}_1^2 \subset \mathcal{C}_1$. It is now easily seen that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_1}^{\tau} \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{C}(a))$, where $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{C}(a)) = \{B \cap \mathcal{C}(a) : B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})\}$. Thus, there is $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_1}^{\tau} = B_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(a)$ is commutative and pseudo-complete, $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{C}(a))$ is directed [1, Theorem (2.10)]. So for each $B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A}))$ there is $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A}))$ such that

$$(B \cup \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)) \cap \mathcal{C}(a) \subset B_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(a). \text{ Hence } \ \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a) \subset A[B_1] \cap \mathcal{C}(a),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ is a C^*-algebra and $A[B_1] \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ a normed $*$-algebra. An application of Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_{B_1}, \ \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a). \tag{3.1}$$

Furthermore, it follows from **(1)** that $x(1+\frac{1}{n}x^*x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus,

$$\|x(1+\frac{1}{n}x^*x)^{-1} - x\|_{B_1} \leq \frac{1}{n}\|xx^*x\|_{B_1},\ \forall x \in A[B_1] \cap \mathcal{C}(a), n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies that $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{B_1}$-dense in $A[B_1] \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$. Therefore, from (3.1) and the fact that $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$ is a C^*-algebra we get $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(a) = A[B_1] \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$. It follows that $B \cap \mathcal{C}(a) \subset B_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(a) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{C}(a)$, from which we conclude

$$a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0),\ \forall a \in B, \ \text{ with } \ a^* = a. \tag{3.2}$$

Now taking an arbitrary $a \in B$ we clearly have $a^*a \in B$, hence from (3.2) $a^*a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, which gives $a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. So, $B \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and the proof of **(2)** is complete. Now, since $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is the greatest member in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{A})$, we have that $A[\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)]$ coincides with the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$, therefore it is complete. So [1, Proposition 2.7] implies that $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is pseudo-complete, hence a GB^*-algebra over $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_0)$. □

4. Locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a C^*-normed algebra and τ a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{A}_0$ with $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ a defining family of seminorms. Suppose that τ satisfies the properties $(\mathrm{T}_1), (\mathrm{T}_2)$. The *regularity condition* (R), considered in the previous Section 2, for $\|\cdot\|_0$, is too strong (see Section 6). So in the present Section we weaken this condition, and we use it together with the conditions $(\mathrm{T}_1), (\mathrm{T}_2)$, in order to investigate the locally convex quasi $*$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$. *The weakened condition* (R) *will be denoted by* (T_3) *and it will read as follows*:

(T_3) $\forall\ \lambda \in \Lambda,\ \exists\ \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0 : p_\lambda(xy) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|x\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $xy = yx$.

Then, we first consider the question stated in Section 3, just before Lemma 3.1, concerning a well-defined multiplication between elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$.

If $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is commutative and τ satisfies the conditions $(\mathrm{T}_1) - (\mathrm{T}_3)$, then τ fulfils clearly the regularity condition (R) for $\|\cdot\|_0$, and so by Lemma 3.1, for arbitrary $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ the left and right multiplications $a \cdot y$ and $y \cdot a$ are defined, respectively, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$.

We consider now the afore-mentioned question in the noncommutative case; for this we set the following

Definition 4.1. Let $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. We shall say that y *commutes strongly* with a if there is a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ such that $x_a \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a$ and $x_\alpha y = y x_\alpha$, for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

- In the rest of the paper, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]$, denotes the completion of the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ with respect to the locally convex topology τ. As a set it clearly coincides with $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$, but there are cases that we need to distinguish them (see Remark 4.6).

Remark 4.2. Let $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Whenever $y \in \mathcal{A}_0$, the multiplications ay and ya are always defined by

$$ay = \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y \quad \text{and} \quad ya = \lim_\alpha y x_\alpha,$$

where $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ is a net in $\mathcal{A}_0$ converging to a with respect to τ. Hence, we may define the notion y *commutes with* a, as usually, i.e., when $ay = ya$. But, even if y *commutes with* a, one has, in general, that y *does not commute strongly with* a. Thus, the notion of strong commutativity is clearly stronger than that of commutativity.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *be a* C^**-normed algebra and* τ *a locally convex topology on* $\mathcal{A}_0$ *that satisfies the properties* $(\mathrm{T}_1) - (\mathrm{T}_3)$. *Let* $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ *and* $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *be strongly commuting. Then the multiplications* $a \cdot y$ *resp.* $y \cdot a$ *are defined by*

$$a \cdot y = \tau - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y \quad \textit{resp.} \quad y \cdot a = \tau - \lim_\alpha y x_\alpha \quad \textit{and} \quad a \cdot y = y \cdot a,$$

where $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ *is a net in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, τ*-converging to* a *and commutating with* y. *The preceding multiplications provide an extension of the multiplication of* $\mathcal{A}_0$. *Moreover, an analogous condition to* (T_3) *holds for the elements* a, y, *i.e.,*

(T_3') $\forall\ \lambda \in \Lambda\ \exists\ \lambda' \in \Lambda$ *and* $\gamma_\lambda > 0 : p_\lambda(a \cdot y) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(a)$.

Proof. Existence of the $\tau - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$:

Note that $\{x_\alpha y\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ is a τ-Cauchy net in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Indeed, from (T_3), for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there are $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$p_\lambda(x_\alpha y - x_{\alpha'} y) = p_\lambda\left((x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'})y\right) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(x_\alpha - x_{\alpha'}) \underset{\alpha,\alpha'}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Hence, $\tau - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y$ exists in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]$, which, as already noticed, as a set clearly coincides with $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$.

The existence of the $\tau - \lim_\alpha y x_\alpha$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]$ is similarly shown and clearly $\tau - \lim_\alpha y x_\alpha = \tau - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y$.

Independence of $\tau - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha y$ from the choice of the net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$:

Let $\{x'_\beta\}_{\beta\in\Sigma'}$ be another net in $\mathcal{A}_0$ such that $x'_\beta \xrightarrow{\tau} a$ and $x'_\beta y = y x'_\beta$, for all $\beta \in \Sigma'$. Then,

$$x_\alpha - x'_\beta \xrightarrow{\tau} 0 \quad \text{with} \quad (x_\alpha - x'_\beta)y = y(x_\alpha - x'_\beta), \forall\ (\alpha, \beta) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma'.$$

Moreover, by (T$_3$), for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exist $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$p_\lambda\left((x_\alpha - x'_\beta)y\right) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(x_\alpha - x'_\beta) \underset{\alpha,\beta}{\rightarrow} 0;$$

this completes the proof of our claim. Thus, we set

$$a \cdot y := \tau - \lim x_\alpha y, \quad \text{resp. } y \cdot a := \tau - \lim y x_\alpha;$$

this clearly implies $a \cdot y = y \cdot a$. Furthermore, using again (T$_3$) we conclude that

$$\forall\, \lambda \in \Lambda\, \exists\, \lambda' \in \Lambda \text{ and } \gamma_\lambda > 0 : p_\lambda(a \cdot y) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|y\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(a),\ \forall\, a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau] \text{ and } y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0],$$

and this proves (T$'_3$). □

Now, following [8] we define notions of positivity for the elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$.

Definition 4.4. Let $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$. Consider the set

$$(\mathcal{A}_0)_+ := \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : x^* = x \text{ and } sp_{\mathcal{A}_0}(x) \subseteq [0, \infty)\},$$

where $sp_{\mathcal{A}_0}(x)$ means spectrum of x in $\mathcal{A}_0$. Clearly $(\mathcal{A}_0)_+$ is contained in the positive cone of the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. The element a is called *quasi-positive* if there is a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ in $(\mathcal{A}_0)_+$ such that $x_\alpha \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a$. In particular, a is called *commutatively quasi-positive* if there is a commuting net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ in $(\mathcal{A}_0)_+$ such that $x_\alpha \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a$.

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}$ *the set of all quasi-positive elements of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}$ *the set of all commutatively quasi-positive elements of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$.

An easy consequence of Definition 4.4 is the following

Lemma 4.5.

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{A}_0)_+ & \subset & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+} \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \overline{(\mathcal{A}_0)_+}^{\|\cdot\|_0} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+ & \subset & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}\ . \end{array}$$

(2) $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}$ *is a positive wedge, but it is not necessarily a positive cone.* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}$ *is not even a positive wedge, in general.*

Remark 4.6. As we have mentioned before, the equality $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau] = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ holds set-theoretically. We consider the following notation:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{q+} \equiv \left\{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau] : \exists \text{ a net } \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \text{ in } \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+ : x_\alpha \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a\right\}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+} \equiv \left\{a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau] : \exists \text{ a commuting net } \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \text{ in } \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+ : x_\alpha \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a\right\}.$$

Then,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{q+} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}, \text{ but } \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+} \underset{\neq}{\supset} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}, \text{ in general.} \tag{4.1}$$

If $\mathcal{A}_0$ is commutative, then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{q+} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}.$$

The following Proposition 4.7 plays an important role in the present paper. It is a generalization of Proposition 3.2 in [8], stated for locally convex quasi C^*-algebras, to the case of locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a unital C^*-normed algebra and τ a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{A}_0$ that fulfils the conditions* $(\mathrm{T}_1) - (\mathrm{T}_3)$. *Suppose that the next condition* (T_4) *holds:*

(T_4) *The set $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+ \equiv \{x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+ : \|x\|_0 \leqq 1\}$ is τ-closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ (or, equivalently, it is τ-complete).*

Then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a locally convex quasi $*$-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$ with the properties:

(1) *$a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}$ implies that $1 + a$ is invertible with $(1 + a)^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$.*

(2) *For $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the element $a_\varepsilon := a \cdot (1 + \varepsilon a)^{-1}$ is well-defined, $a - a_\varepsilon \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+}$ and $a = \tau - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} a_\varepsilon$.*

(3) $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+} \cap (-\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}) = \{0\}$.

(4) *Furthermore, suppose that the following condition*

(T_5) $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$

is satisfied. Then, if $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$ with $y - a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}$, one has that $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$.

Proof. (1) There exists a commuting net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ in $(\mathcal{A}_0)_+$ with $x_\alpha \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} a$ and $x_\alpha x_{\alpha'} = x_{\alpha'} x_\alpha$, for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Sigma$. Using properties of the positive elements in a C^*-algebra, and condition (T_3) we get that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there are $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\lambda\left((1 + x_\alpha)^{-1} - (1 + x_{\alpha'})^{-1}\right) &= p_\lambda\left((1 + x_\alpha)^{-1}(x_{\alpha'} - x_\alpha)(1 + x_{\alpha'})^{-1}\right) \\ &\leqq \gamma_\lambda \|(1 + x_\alpha)^{-1}\|_0 \|(1 + x_{\alpha'})^{-1}\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(x_{\alpha'} - x_\alpha) \leqq \gamma_\lambda p_{\lambda'}(x_{\alpha'} - x_\alpha) \underset{\alpha,\alpha'}{\longrightarrow} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{(1+x_\alpha)^{-1}\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ is a Cauchy net in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ consisting of elements of $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$, the latter set being τ-closed by (T_4). Hence, there exists $y \in \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$ such that

$$(1 + x_\alpha)^{-1} \underset{\tau}{\longrightarrow} y. \tag{4.2}$$

We shall show that $(1 + a)^{-1}$ exists in $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$ and coincides with y. It is easily seen that, for each index $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $(1 + x_\alpha)^{-1}$ commutes strongly with $(1 + a)$, so that

$(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}$ is well-defined (Lemma 4.3). Similarly, $(x_\alpha - a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1} = \mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}$ is well-defined, therefore using (T$'_3$) of Lemma 4.3, we have that for all $\lambda\in\Lambda$ there are $\lambda'\in\Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda>0$ with

$$p_\lambda(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}) = p_\lambda((x_\alpha-a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}) \leqq \gamma_\lambda p_{\lambda'}(x_\alpha-a)\xrightarrow[\alpha]{}0.$$

Thus, $(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}\xrightarrow[\tau]{}\mathit{1}$. By the above,

$$\mathit{1}+x_\alpha\xrightarrow[\tau]{}\mathit{1}+a \ \text{ and } \ (\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)y = y(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha), \forall\ \alpha\in\Sigma.$$

Hence, y commutes strongly with $\mathit{1}+a$, therefore $(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y$ is well-defined by Lemma 4.3. Now, since $x_\alpha\xrightarrow[\tau]{}a$, we have that

$$\forall\ \lambda\in\Lambda \ \text{ and } \ \forall\ \varepsilon>0, \exists\ \alpha_0\in\Sigma : p_\lambda(x_{\alpha'}-a)<\varepsilon,\ \forall\ \alpha'\geqq\alpha_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Using (T$_3$), (T$'_3$) of Lemma 4.3, and relations (4.3), (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y)\\
&\quad\leqq p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-(\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0})(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1})\\
&\quad+p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0})(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-(\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0})y)+p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0})y-(\mathit{1}+a)y)\\
&\quad\leqq \gamma_\lambda p_{\lambda'}(a-x_{\alpha_0})+\gamma_\lambda\|\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0}\|_0 p_{\lambda'}((\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-y)+\gamma_\lambda p_{\lambda'}(x_{\alpha_0}-a)\\
&\quad< 2\varepsilon+\gamma_\lambda\|\mathit{1}+x_{\alpha_0}\|_0 p_{\lambda'}((\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-y),\quad \forall\ \varepsilon>0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$0\leqq\lim_\alpha p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y)\leqq 2\varepsilon,\ \forall\varepsilon>0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_\alpha p_\lambda((\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}-(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y)=0.$$

Consequently,

$$(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}\xrightarrow[\tau]{}(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y. \tag{4.4}$$

Similarly, $(\mathit{1}+x_\alpha)^{-1}\cdot(\mathit{1}+a)\xrightarrow[\tau]{}y\cdot(\mathit{1}+a)$. So from (4.3) and (4.4) we conclude that $(\mathit{1}+a)\cdot y = y\cdot(\mathit{1}+a)=\mathit{1}$, therefore $y=(\mathit{1}+a)^{-1}$.

(2) By (1), for every $\varepsilon>0$, the element $(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}$ exists in $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$, and commutes strongly with a. Hence (see Lemma 4.3), $a_\varepsilon := a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}$ is well-defined. Moreover, applying (T$'_3$) of Lemma 4.3, we have that for all $\lambda\in\Lambda$, there exist $\lambda'\in\Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda>0$ such that

$$p_\lambda(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}) = \varepsilon p_\lambda(a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})\leqq\varepsilon\gamma_\lambda\|(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(a)\leqq\varepsilon\gamma_\lambda p_{\lambda'}(a).$$

Therefore,

$$\tau-\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}=\mathit{1}. \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, since $(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})$ commutes strongly with a and $a_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})$, $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})\cdot a=a\cdot(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})=a-a_\varepsilon\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+}. \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.5), (4.6) and same arguments as in (4.4), we get that $\tau-\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}a_\varepsilon=a$.

(3) Let $a\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+}\cap(-\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{cq+})$ and $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. By (2) (see also Remark 4.6), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]^{\sim}[\tau]_{cq+}\ni a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}\xrightarrow[\tau]{}a;\quad\text{in the same way}\quad -a\cdot(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}\xrightarrow[\tau]{}-a.$$

Now the element

$$x_\varepsilon\equiv\ a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}-(-a)\cdot(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}=2a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}$$

belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$ by (1) and the functional calculus of commutative C^*-algebras. Similarly, $-x_\varepsilon=2(-a)\cdot(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$. Hence,

$$x_\varepsilon\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+\cap(-\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+)=\{0\},\quad\text{so that}\quad a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}=-a\cdot(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, by (2),

$$a=\tau-\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}=\tau-\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}(-a)\cdot(\mathit{1}-\varepsilon a)^{-1}=-a,\quad\text{so}\quad a=0.$$

(4) Note that $y-a_\varepsilon=(y-a)+(a-a_\varepsilon)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}$, since (by (4) and (2) resp.) the elements $y-a$, $a-a_\varepsilon$ belong to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}$ and the latter set is a positive wedge according to Lemma 4.5(2). On the other hand,

$$a_\varepsilon=a\cdot(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}=(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1}\cdot a=\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathit{1}-(\mathit{1}+\varepsilon a)^{-1})\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0].$$

Thus, taking under consideration the assumption (T_5) we conclude that

$$y-a_\varepsilon\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]=\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+,$$

which clearly gives $\|a_\varepsilon\|_0\leqq\|y\|_0$, for every $\varepsilon>0$. Applying (T_4), we show that $a\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$. □

Definition 4.8. Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ be a unital C^*-normed algebra, τ a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{A}_0$ satisfying the conditions $(\mathrm{T}_1)-(\mathrm{T}_5)$ (for $(\mathrm{T}_4),(\mathrm{T}_5)$ see the previous proposition). Then,

- a quasi $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of the locally convex quasi $*$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ containing $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is said to be a *locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over* $\mathcal{A}_0$.
- A locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ is said to be *normal* if $a \cdot y \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ commute strongly.
- A locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ is called a *locally convex quasi C^*-algebra* if $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a C^*-algebra.

Note that the condition (T$_3$) in the present paper is weaker than the condition

(T$_3$) $\forall\ \lambda \in \Lambda,\ \exists\ \lambda' \in \Lambda : p_\lambda(xy) \leqq \|x\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(y),\ \forall\ x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $xy = yx$

in [8]. Nevertheless, results for locally convex quasi C^*-algebras in [8] are valid in the present paper for the wider class of locally convex C^*-normed algebras. It follows, by the very definitions, that *a locally convex quasi C^*-algebra is a normal locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra.* A variety of examples of locally convex quasi C^*-algebras are given in [8], Sections 3 and 4. Examples of locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras are presented in Sections 6 and 7

An easy consequence of Definition 4.8 and Lemma 4.3 is the following

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ and τ be as in Definition 4.8. Then the following hold:*

(1) *$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a normal locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

(2) *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \equiv$ linear span of $\{a \cdot y : a \in \mathcal{A}, y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]\}$ is a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-algebra over $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ under the multiplication $a \cdot y$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$). In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$ is normal, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-algebra over $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$.*

5. Commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras

In this Section, we discuss briefly some results on the structure of a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ and on a functional calculus for its quasi-positive elements, that are similar to those in [8, Sections 5 and 6].

Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$ (see Definition 4.8). Then,

$$\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \mathcal{A}[\tau] \subset \mathcal{A}[\tau] \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau],$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a commutative unital C^*-normed algebra and $\mathcal{A}[\tau] \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is a commutative locally convex quasi C^*-algebra over the unital C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ according to Lemma 4.9(2). Thus, using some results of the Sections 5, 6 in [8] for the latter algebra we obtain information for the structure of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$.

Let W be a compact Hausdorff space, $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, and $\mathfrak{F}(W)_+$ a set of $\mathbb{C}^*$-valued positive continuous functions on W, which take the value ∞ on at most a nowhere dense subset W_0 of W. The set

$$\mathfrak{F}(W) \equiv \{fg_0 + h_0 : f \in \mathfrak{F}(W)_+ \ \text{ and } \ g_0, h_0 \in \mathcal{C}(W)\},$$

where $\mathcal{C}(W)$ is the C^*-algebra of all continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on W, is called *the set of $\mathbb{C}^*$-valued continuous functions on W generated by the wedge $\mathfrak{F}(W)_+$ and the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(W)$*. Using [8, Definition 5.6] and $\mathfrak{F}(W)$ we get the following theorem, which is an application of Theorem 5.8 of [8] for the commutative locally convex quasi C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau] \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ over the unital commutative C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, with $\mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, in the place of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+})$.

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a map Φ from $\mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ onto $\mathfrak{F}(W)$, where W is the compact Hausdorff space corresponding to the Gel'fand space of the unital commutative C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, such that:*

- *(i) $\Phi(\mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}) = \mathfrak{F}(W)_+$ and $\Phi(\lambda a + b) = \lambda\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)$, $\forall\ a, b \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$, $\lambda \geqq 0$;*
- *(ii) Φ is an isometric $*$-isomorphism from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ onto $\mathcal{C}(W)$;*
- *(iii) $\Phi(ax) = \Phi(a)\Phi(x)$, $\Phi((\lambda a + b)x) = (\lambda\Phi(a) + \Phi(b))\Phi(x)$ and $\Phi(a(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi(a)(\Phi(x_1) + \Phi(x_2))$, $\forall\ a, b \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$, $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\lambda \geqq 0$.*

• Further we consider a functional calculus for the quasi-positive elements of the commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$. For this, we must extend the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$.

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$. Then (see also [8, Definition 6.1]), a is called *left multiplier* of b if there are nets $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}, \{y_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Sigma'}$ in $(\mathcal{A}_0)_+$ such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow[\tau]{} a$, $y_\beta \xrightarrow[\tau]{} b$ and $x_\alpha y_\beta \xrightarrow[\tau]{} c$, where the latter means that the double indexed net $\{x_\alpha y_\beta\}_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma'}$ converges to $c \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]$. Then, we set

$$a \cdot b := c = \tau - \lim_{\alpha,\beta} x_\alpha y_\beta,$$

where the multiplication $a \cdot b$ is well defined, in the sense that it is independent of the choice of the nets $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}, \{y_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Sigma'}$, as follows from the proof of Lemma 6.2 in [8] applying arguments of the proof of Proposition 4.7. In the sequel, we simply denote $a \cdot b$ by ab. In analogy to Definition 6.3 of [8], if $x, y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$ with a left multiplier of b, we may define the product of the elements ax and by as follows:

$$(ax)(by) := (ab)xy.$$

The spectrum of an element $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$, denoted by $\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a)$, is defined as in Definition 6.4 of [8].

So using Theorem 5.1, it is shown (cf., for instance, Lemma 6.5 in [8]) that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$, one has that $\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a)$ *is a locally compact subset of* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *and* $\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a) \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$.

According to the above, and taking into account the comments after Lemma 6.5 in [8] with $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ in the place of $\mathcal{A}_0$, the next Theorem 5.2 provides a generalization of [8, Theorem 6.6] in the setting of commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras. In particular, Theorem 5.2 supplies us with a functional calculus for the quasi-positive elements of the commutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$. *Let* a^n *be well-defined for some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then there is a unique* $*$*-isomorphism* $f \to f(a)$ *from* $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *into* $\mathcal{A}[\tau] \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *such that:*

(i) *If* $u_0(\lambda) = 1$, *with* $u_0 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *and* $\lambda \in \sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0}(a)$, *then* $u_0(a) = 1$.

(ii) *If* $u_1(\lambda) = \lambda$ *with* $u_1 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *and* $\lambda \in \sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a)$, *then* $u_1(a) = a$.

(iii) $(\lambda_1 f_1 + f_2)(a) = \lambda_1 f_1(a) + f_2(a)$, $\forall\ f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *and* $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$; $(f_1 f_2)(a) = f_1(a) f_2(a)$, $\forall\ f_j \in \mathcal{C}_{k_j}(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$, $j = 1, 2$, *with* $k_1 + k_2 \leqq n$.

(iv) *Denoting with* $\mathcal{C}_b$ *the set of the bounded and continuous functions, the map* $f \to f(a)$ *restricted to* $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *is an isometric* $*$*-isomorphism of the* C^**-algebra* $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]}(a))$ *on the closed* $*$*-subalgebra of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *generated by 1 and* $(1 + a)^{-1}$.

Applying Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 4.7 in the proof of [8, Corollary 6.7] we get the following

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then, there exists unique* b *in* $\mathcal{A}[\tau]_{q+} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *such that* $a = b^n$. *The unique element* b *is called quasi* n*th-root of* a *and we write* $b = a^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

6. Structure of noncommutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras

Using the notation of [8, Section 4] (see also [3]), let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{D}$ a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ a unital C^*-normed algebra on $\mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}, \quad \text{but} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]\mathcal{D} \not\subset \mathcal{D}.$$

Then, the restriction $\mathcal{M}_0 \restriction \mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{M}_0$ to $\mathcal{D}$ is an O^*-algebra on $\mathcal{D}$, so that an element X of $\mathcal{M}_0$ may be regarded as an element $X \restriction \mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{M}_0 \lceil \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}),$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is an O^*-vector space on $\mathcal{D}$, that is, a $*$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all bounded subsets of $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{M}}]$ ($t_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the graph topology on $\mathcal{M}$; see [14, p.9]) and by $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all finite subsets of $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ and both of them are admissible in the sense of [8, p. 522].

We recall the topologies τ_{s^*}, $\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})$, $\tau_*^u(\mathcal{M})$ defined in [8, pp. 522-523]. More precisely, for an arbitrary admissible subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$, and any $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathcal{B}$ consider the following seminorm:

$$p_\dagger^{\mathfrak{M}}(X) := \sup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{M}} \{\|X\xi\| + \|X^\dagger \xi\|\}, \quad X \in \mathcal{M}.$$

We call the corresponding locally convex topology on $\mathcal{M}$ induced by the preceding family of seminorms, *strongly* $\mathcal{B}$-uniform topology* and denote it by $\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})$. In particular, the strongly* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$-uniform topology will be simply called *strongly* $\mathcal{M}$-uniform topology* and will be denoted by $\tau_*^u(\mathcal{M})$. In Schmüdgen's book [17], this topology is called *bounded topology*. The strongly* $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathcal{D})$-uniform topology is called *strong*-topology on $\mathcal{M}$*, denoted by τ_{s^*}. All three topologies are related in the following way:

$$\tau_{s^*} \preceq \tau_*^u(\mathcal{B}) \preceq \tau_*^u(\mathcal{M}).$$

Then, one gets that

$$\mathcal{M}_0[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_*^u] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_{s^*}] \subset \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H}). \tag{6.1}$$

In this regard, we have now the following

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$, $\mathcal{M}$ be as before. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be any admissible subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ is a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{M}_0$, which is contained in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_{s^*}]$ is a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{M}_0$. Furthermore, if $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ and $Y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ commute strongly, then $A \Box Y$ is well-defined and*

$$A \Box Y = A \cdot Y = Y \cdot A = Y \Box A.$$

Proof. It is easily checked that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_{s^*}]$ are locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras over $\mathcal{M}_0$. Suppose now that $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ and $Y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ commute strongly. Then, there is a net $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ in $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that $X_\alpha Y = Y X_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $A = \tau_*^u(\mathcal{B}) - \lim_\alpha X_\alpha$. Since

$$(A^\dagger \xi | Y\eta) = \lim_\alpha (X_\alpha^\dagger \xi | Y\eta) = \lim_\alpha (\xi | X_\alpha Y \eta) = \lim_\alpha (\xi | Y X_\alpha \eta) = (\xi | Y A \eta)$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$, it follows that $A \Box Y$ is well-defined and $A \Box Y = YA$. Furthermore, since

$$A \cdot Y = \tau_*^u(\mathcal{B}) - \lim_\alpha X_\alpha Y = \tau_{s^*} - \lim_\alpha X_\alpha Y,$$

we have

$$(A \cdot Y)\xi = \lim_{\alpha} X_\alpha Y \xi = \lim_{\alpha} Y X_\alpha \xi = Y A \xi = (A \Box Y)\xi$$

for each $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $A \cdot Y = A \Box Y$. $\Box$

Proposition 6.2. *$\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})[\tau_{s^*}]$ is a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b \equiv \{X \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}) : \overline{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}$.*

Proof. Indeed, as shown in [3, Section 2.5], $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b$, is a C^*-normed algebra which is τ_{s^*} dense in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ is a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b$. $\Box$

Remark 6.3. The following questions arise naturally:

(1) What is exactly the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b^{\sim}[\|\cdot\|_0]$?

Under what conditions may one have the equality $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b^{\sim}[\|\cdot\|_0] = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$?

(2) Is $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ a locally convex quasi C^*-algebra under the strong* uniform topology τ_*^u?

More precisely, does the equality $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})_b^{\sim}[\tau_*^u] = \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ hold?

We expect the answer to these questions to depend on the properties of the topology $t_\dagger \equiv t_{\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})}$ given on $\mathcal{D}$ and we conjecture positive answers in the case where $\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{D}^\infty(T)$, with T a positive self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and $\|\cdot\|_0$ the operator norm in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We leave these questions open.

- In the rest of this Section we consider conditions under which a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra is continuously embedded in a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra of operators.

So let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{D}$ a dense subspace in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-representation. Then we have the following:

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H})$ a $(\tau, \tau_*^u(\mathcal{B}))$-continuous $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$. Then,*

(1) π is a $*$-representation of the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$;

(2) $\pi(\mathcal{A})[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ resp. $\pi(\mathcal{A})[\tau_{s^*}]$ are locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebras over $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0] \subset \mathcal{A}$ and π is a *-representation of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that

$$\pi(ay) = \pi(a) \Box \pi(y), \quad \forall a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0], \forall y \in \mathcal{A}_0. \tag{6.2}$$

Now we show that

$$\pi(ab) = \pi(a) \Box \pi(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]. \tag{6.3}$$

Indeed, let a, b be arbitrary elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_0$ such that $b = \|\cdot\|_0 - \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n$. Hence, $ab = \|\cdot\|_0 - \lim_{n\to\infty} ay_n$.

Moreover, it is easily seen that π is also (τ, τ_{s^*})-continuous and so, by (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(b)\xi | \pi(a^*)\eta \rangle &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \pi(y_n)\xi | \pi(a^*)\eta \rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \pi(a) \Box \pi(y_n)\xi | \eta \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \pi(ay_n)\xi | \eta \rangle = \langle \pi(ab)\xi | \eta \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, (6.3) holds.
For any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$, we put

$$f(a) = (\pi(a)\xi | \xi), \quad a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0].$$

Then, by (6.3), f is a positive linear functional on the unital C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Hence, we have

$$\|\pi(a)\xi\|^2 = f(a^*a) \leq f(1)\|a\|_0^2 = \|\xi\|^2\|a\|_0^2$$

for all $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, which implies that π is bounded. This completes the proof of (1).

(2) $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasi $*$-subalgebra of the locally convex quasi $*$-algebras $\widetilde{\pi(\mathcal{A})}[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{B})]$ and $\widetilde{\pi(\mathcal{A})}[\tau_{s^*}]$ over $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$. Furthermore, by (1), $\pi(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$ is a C^*-algebra and

$$\widetilde{\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)}[\|\cdot\|_0] = \pi(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]) \subset \pi(\mathcal{A}).$$

□

Remark 6.5. Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over $\mathcal{A}_0$, and π a $(\tau, \tau_*^u(\mathcal{B}))$-continuous $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an admissible subset in $\mathcal{B}(\pi(\mathcal{A}))$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be strongly commuting with $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. Then $\pi(a)$ commutes strongly with $\pi(y)$. The converse does not necessarily hold. So even if $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ is normal, the locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ over $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is not necessarily normal.

We are going now to discuss the faithfulness of a (τ, τ_{s^*})-continuous $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$. For this, we need some facts on sesquilinear forms, for which the reader is referred to [8, p. 544]. We only recall that if

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_0) := \{\tau\text{-continuous positive invariant sesquilinear forms } \varphi \text{ on } \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0\},$$

we say that the set $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is *sufficient*, whenever

$$a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \tilde{\varphi}(a,a) = 0, \forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_0), \text{ implies } a = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the extension of φ to a τ-continuous positive invariant sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.

¿From the next results, Theorem 6.6 and Corollary 6.7 can be regarded as generalizations of the analogues of the Gel'fand-Naimark theorem, in the case of locally convex quasi C^*-algebras proved in [8, Section 7]. Theorem 6.6 is proved in the same way as [8, Theorem 7.3].

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over a unital C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) There exists a faithful (τ, τ_{s^*})-continuous $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

(ii) The set $S(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is sufficient.

Corollary 6.7. *Suppose $S(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is sufficient. Then, the locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ is continuously embedded in a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra of operators.*

We end this Section with the study of a functional calculus for the commutatively quasi-positive elements (see Definition 4.4) of $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$.

Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over a unital C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$. If $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{cq+}$, then by Proposition 4.7(1), the element $(1 + a)^{-1}$ exists and belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$. Denote by $C^*(a)$ the maximal commutative C^*-subalgebra of the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ containing the elements 1 and $(1 + a)^{-1}$.

Lemma 6.8. *$\widetilde{C^*(a)}[\tau]$ is a commutative unital locally convex quasi C^*-algebra over $C^*(a)$ and $a \in \widetilde{C^*(a)}[\tau]_{q+}$.*

Proof. Since $C^*(a)$ is a unital C^*-algebra, we have only to check the properties $(\mathrm{T}_1)-(\mathrm{T}_5)$. We show (T_1); the rest of them, as well as the fact that $a \in \widetilde{C^*(a)}[\tau]_{q+}$ are proved by the same way as in [8, Proposition 7.6 and Corollary 7.7]. From the condition (T_3) for $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$, we have that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exist $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$p_\lambda(xy) \leqq \gamma_\lambda \|x\|_0 p_{\lambda'}(y), \forall\ x, y \in C^*(a).$$

So, $C^*(a)[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra with separately continuous multiplication. □

By Lemma 6.8 and Theorem 5.2 we can now obtain a functional calculus for the commutatively quasi-positive elements of the noncommutative locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ (see also [8, Theorem 7.8, Corollary 7.9]).

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ be an arbitrary locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra over a unital C^*-normed algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{cq+}$. Suppose that a^n is well-defined for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is a unique $*$-isomorphism $f \to f(a)$ from $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ into $\mathcal{A}[\tau] \cdot C^*(a)$ such that:*

(i) *If* $u_0(\lambda) = 1$, *with* $u_0 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ *and* $\lambda \in \sigma_{C^*(a)}(a)$, *then* $u_0(a) = 1$.

(ii) *If* $u_1(\lambda) = \lambda$ *with* $u_1 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ *and* $\lambda \in \sigma_{C^*(a)}(a)$, *then* $u_1(a) = a$.

(iii) $(\lambda_1 f_1 + f_2)(a) = \lambda_1 f_1(a) + f_2(a)$, $\forall\ f_1, f_2 \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_k(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ *and* $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$; $(f_1 f_2)(a) = f_1(a) f_2(a)$, $\forall\ f_j \in \mathcal{C}_{k_j}(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$, $j = 1, 2$, *with* $k_1 + k_2 \leqq n$.

(iv) *The map* $f \to f(a)$ *restricted to* $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ *is an isometric* $*$*-isomorphism of the* C^**-algebra* $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma_{C^*(a)}(a))$ *on the* C^**-algebra* $C^*(a)$.

Using Theorem 6.9 and applying Corollary 5.3 for the commutative unital locally convex quasi C^*-algebra $\widetilde{C^*(a)}[\tau]$, we conclude the following

Corollary 6.10. *Let* $\mathcal{A}[\tau]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_0$ *be as in Theorem 6.9. If* $a \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{cq+}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *there is a unique element* $b \in \mathcal{A}[\tau]_{cq+} \cdot C^*(a)$, *such that* $a = b^n$. *The element* b *is called commutatively quasi* n*th-root of* a *and is denoted by* $a^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

7. Applications

Locally convex quasi C*-normed algebras arise, as we have discussed throughout this paper, as completions of a C*-normed algebra with respect to a locally convex topology which satisfies a series of requirements. Completions of this sort actually occur in quantum statistics.

In statistical physics, in fact, one has to deal with systems consisting of a very large number of particles, so large that one usually considers this number to be *infinite*. One begins by considering systems living in a *local region* V (V is, for instance, a bounded region of $\mathbb{R}^3$ for gases or liquids, or a finite subset of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$ for crystals) and requires that the set of local regions is directed, i.e., if V_1, V_2 are two local regions, then there exists a third local region V_3 containing both V_1 and V_2. The observables on a given bounded region V are supposed to constitute a C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_V$, where all $\mathcal{A}_V$'s have the same norm, and so the *-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ of local observables, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \bigcup_V \mathcal{A}_V$, is a C*-normed algebra. Its uniform completion is, obviously, a C*-algebra (more precisely, a quasi local C*-algebra) that in the original algebraic approach was taken as the observable algebra of the system. As a matter of fact, this C*-algebraic formulation reveals to be insufficient, since for many models there is no way of including in this framework the thermodynamical limit of the local Heisenberg dynamics [6]. Then a possible procedure to follow in order to circumvent this difficulty is to define in $\mathcal{A}_0$ a new locally convex topology, τ, called, for obvious reasons, *physical topology*, in such a way that the dynamics in the thermodynamical limit belongs to the completion of $\mathcal{A}_0$ with respect to τ. For that purpose, a class of topologies for the *-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ of local observables of a quantum system was proposed by Lassner in [15, 16]. We will sketch in what follows this construction. Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a C*-normed algebra to be understood as the algebra of local observables described above; thus we will suppose that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathcal{A}_\lambda$, where $\{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}_\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a family of C*-algebras labeled by a directed set of indices Λ. Assume that, for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (Σ a given set of indices), π_α is a $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}_0$ on a dense subspace $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, i.e. each π_α is a $*$-homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_0$ into the partial O^*-algebra $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ endowed, for instance, with the topology $\tau_*^u(\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha))$. We shall assume that $\pi_\alpha(x)\mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since every $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is a C^*-algebra, each π_α is a bounded and continuous $*$-representation, i.e. $\overline{\pi_\alpha(x)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$, $\|\overline{\pi_\alpha(x)}\| \le \|x\|_0$, for every $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. So each π_α can be extended to the C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$ (we denote the extension by the same symbol).The family is supposed to be *faithful*, in the sense that if $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$, $x \neq 0$, then there exists $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $\pi_\alpha(x) \neq 0$. Let us further suppose that $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathcal{D}^\infty(M_\alpha) = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}(M_\alpha^n)$, where M_α is a selfadjoint operator. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M_\alpha \geq I_\alpha$, with I_α the identity operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$. Under these assumptions, a *physical* topology τ can be defined on $\mathcal{A}_0$ by the family of seminorms

$$p_\alpha^f(x) = \|\pi_\alpha(x)f(M_\alpha)\| + \|\pi_\alpha(x^*)f(M_\alpha)\|,\ x \in \mathcal{A}_0,$$

where $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and f runs over the set $\mathcal{F}$ of all positive, bounded and continuous functions $f(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^k f(t) < \infty, \quad \forall\ k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then, $\mathcal{A}_0[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra with separately continuous multiplication (i.e. (T$_1$) holds). In order to prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a locally convex quasi C^*-normed algebra, we need to prove that (T$_2$)-(T$_5$) also hold. As for (T$_2$), we have, for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$,

$$p_\alpha^f(x) = \|\pi_\alpha(x)f(M_\alpha)\| + \|\pi_\alpha(x^*)f(M_\alpha)\| \le 2\|f(M_\alpha)\|\|\pi_\alpha(x)\| \le 2\|f(M_\alpha)\|\|x\|_0,\ x \in \mathcal{A}_0.$$

The compatibility of τ with $\|\cdot\|_0$ follows easily from the closedness of the operators $f(M_\alpha)^{-1}$ and the faithfulness of the family $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of *-representations.

The condition (R) does not hold, in general, but, on the other hand, if $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $xy = yx$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha^f(xy) &= \|\pi_\alpha(xy)f(M_\alpha)\| + \|\pi_\alpha((xy)^*)f(M_\alpha)\| \\
&= \|\pi_\alpha(xy)f(M_\alpha)\| + \|\pi_\alpha(x^*y^*)f(M_\alpha)\| \\
&\le \|\pi_\alpha(x)\|(\|\pi_\alpha(y)f(M_\alpha)\| + \|\pi_\alpha(y^*)f(M_\alpha)\|) \\
&= \|\pi_\alpha(x)\|p_\alpha^f(y) \le \|x\|_0 p_\alpha^f(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (T$_3$) holds. As for (T$_4$), we begin with noticing that for every $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $\pi_\alpha(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is an O*-algebra of bounded operators in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Hence, its closure in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha))]$

is a locally convex C*-normed algebra of operators, by Proposition 6.1. Moreover, every π_α can be extended by continuity to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]$. The extension, that we denote by the same symbol, takes values in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha,\mathcal{H}_\alpha)[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha,\mathcal{H}_\alpha))]$, since this space is complete. Now, if $\{x_\lambda\}$ is a net in $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])_+$ τ-converging to $x\in\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0])$, then $x=x^*$ and $\pi_\alpha(x_\lambda)\to\pi_\alpha(x)$ in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha,\mathcal{H}_\alpha)[\tau_*^u(\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}_\alpha,\mathcal{H}_\alpha))]$, for every $\alpha\in\Sigma$. Thus $\pi_\alpha(x)\geq 0$ and $\|\pi_\alpha(x)\|\leq 1$, for every $\alpha\in\Sigma$, since the same is true for every x_λ. By constructing a faithful representation π by direct sum of the π_α's, one easily realizes that $x\geq 0$ and $\|x\|_0\leq 1$. The inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]_{q+}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\|\cdot\|_0]_+$ in Condition (T_5) can be proved in similar fashion. The converse inclusion comes from Lemma 4.5. Thus Condition (T_5) holds.

Then we conclude that

Statement 7.1. $\mathcal{A}\equiv\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$ is a locally convex quasi C*-normed algebra, which can be understood as the quasi *-algebra of the observables of the physical system.

A more concrete realization of the situation discussed above is obtained for the so-called BCS model. Let V be a finite region of a d-dimensional lattice Λ and $|V|$ the number of points in V. The local C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_V$ is generated by the Pauli operators $\vec{\sigma}_p=(\sigma_p^1,\sigma_p^2,\sigma_p^3)$ and by the unit 2×2 matrix e_p at every point $p\in V$. The $\vec{\sigma}_p$'s are copies of the Pauli matrices localized in p.

If $V\subset V'$ and $A_V\in\mathcal{A}_V$, then $A_V\to A_{V'}=A_V\otimes(\bigotimes_{p\in V'\setminus V}e_p)$ defines the natural imbedding of $\mathcal{A}_V$ into $\mathcal{A}_{V'}$.

Let $\vec{n}=(n_1,n_2,n_3)$ be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and put $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n})=n_1\sigma^1+n_2\sigma^2+n_3\sigma^3$. Then, denoting as $Sp(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n})$ the spectrum of $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n}$, we have $Sp(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n})=\{1,-1\}$. Let $|\vec{n}\rangle\in\mathbb{C}^2$ be a unit eigenvector associated with 1.

Let now denote by $\mathbf{n}:=\{\vec{n}_p\}_{p\in\Lambda}$ an infinite sequence of unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $|\mathbf{n}\rangle=\bigotimes_p|\vec{n}_p\rangle$ the corresponding unit vector in the infinite tensor product $\mathcal{H}_\infty=\bigotimes_p\mathbb{C}_p^2$. We put $\mathcal{A}_0=\bigcup_V\mathcal{A}_V$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}}^0=\mathcal{A}_0|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ and we denote the closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}}^0$ in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$. As we saw above, to any sequence $\mathbf{n}$ of three-vectors there corresponds a state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ of the system. Such a state defines a realization $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$. This representation is faithful, since the norm completion $\mathcal{A}_S$ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a simple C*-algebra. A special basis for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is obtained from the *ground* state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ by *flipping* a finite number of spins using the following strategy:

Let $\vec{n}$ be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$, as above, and $|\vec{n}\rangle$ the corresponding vector of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let us choose two other unit vectors $\vec{n}^1,\vec{n}^2$ so that $(\vec{n},\vec{n}^1,\vec{n}^2)$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We put $\vec{n}_\pm=\frac{1}{2}(\vec{n}^1\pm i\vec{n}^2)$ and define $|m,\vec{n}\rangle:=(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n}_-)^m|\vec{n}\rangle \quad (m=0,1)$. Then we have

$$(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{n})|m,\vec{n}\rangle=(-1)^m|m,\vec{n}\rangle \quad (m=0,1).$$

Thus, the set $\left\{ |\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle = \underset{p}{\otimes} |m_p, \vec{n}_p\rangle;\ m_p = 0, 1,\ \ \sum_p m_p < \infty \right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{n}$.

In this space we define the unbounded self-adjoint operator $M_\mathbf{n}$ by

$$M_\mathbf{n} |\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle = (1 + \sum_p m_p) |\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle. \tag{7.1}$$

$M_\mathbf{n}$ counts the number of the flipped spins in $|\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle$ with respect to the ground state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$. Now we put

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{n} = \bigcap_k \mathcal{D}(M_\mathbf{n}^k),$$

The representation $\pi_\mathbf{n}$ is defined on the basis vectors $\{|\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle\}$ by

$$\pi_\mathbf{n}(\sigma_p^i)|\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\rangle = \sigma_p^i \mid m_p, \vec{n}_p\rangle \otimes (\prod_{p' \neq p} \otimes \mid m_{p'}, \vec{n}_{p'}\rangle) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

This definition is then extended in obvious way to the whole space $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{n}$. It turns out that $\pi_\mathbf{n}$ is a *bounded* representation of $\mathcal{A}_0$ into $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{n}$. For more details we refer to [20, 11]. Hence, the procedure outlined above applies, showing that a natural framework for discussing the BCS model is, indeed, provided by locally convex quasi C*-normed algebras considered in this paper. We argue that an analysis similar to that of [11] can be carried out also in the present context, so that for suitable finite volume hamiltonians, the thermodynamical limit of the local dynamics can be appropriately defined in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_0}[\tau]$.

References

[1] G.R. Allan, *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 399-421.

[2] G.R. Allan, *On a class of locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 91-114.

[3] J.-P. Antoine, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, *Partial ∗-Algebras and their Operator Realizations*, Math. Appl. 553, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2003.

[4] J.-P. Antoine and W. Karwowski, *Partial ∗-algebras of closed operators in Quantum Theory of Particles and Fields*, pp.13-30; B. Jancewitz and J. Lukerski (eds) World Scientific, Singapore, 1983.

[5] J.-P. Antoine and W. Karwowski, *Partial *-algebras of closed linear operators in Hilbert space*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **21**(1985), 205-236.; Add./Err. ibid. **22**(1986), 507-511.

[6] F. Bagarello, *Algebras of unbounded operators and physical applications: a survey*, Reviews in Math. Physics, (3) **19**(2007), 231-272.

[7] F. Bagarello, M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, *The completion of a C^*-algebra with a locally convex topology*, J. Operator Theory **56**(2006), 357-376.

[8] F. Bagarello, M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and C. Trapani, *Structure of locally convex quasi C^*-algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **60**(2008), 511-549.

[9] F. Bagarello and C. Trapani, *States and representations of CQ^*-algebras*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **61**(1994), 103-133.

[10] F. Bagarello and C. Trapani, *CQ^*-algebras: Structure properties*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **32**(1996), 85-116.

[11] F.Bagarello, C.Trapani, *The Heisenberg Dynamics of Spin Systems: a Quasi*-Algebras Approach*, J. Math. Phys., **37** (1996) 4219-4234.

[12] P.G. Dixon, *Generalized B^*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **21** (1970), 693-715.

[13] M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and K.-D. Kürsten, *On the completion of a C^*-normed algebra under a locally convex algebra topology*, Contemporary Math. **427**(2007), 89-95.

[14] A. Inoue, *Tomita-Takesaki Theory in Algebras of Unbounded Operators*, Lecture Notes Math. No 1699, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[15] G. Lassner, *Topological algebras and their applications in quantum statistics*, Wiss. Z. KMU-Leipzig, Math. Naturwiss. R. **30**(1981), 572-595.

[16] G. Lassner, *Algebras of unbounded operators and quantum dynamics*, Physica A **124**(1984), 471-480.

[17] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1990.

[18] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

[19] C. Trapani, *States and derivations on quasi $*$-algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **29**(1988), 1885-1890.

[20] C. Trapani, *Quasi $*$-algebras of operators and their applications*, Reviews Math. Phys. **7**(1995), 1303-1332.

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Fac Ingegneria, Universita di
Palermo, I-90128 Palermo, Italy
E-mail address: bagarell@unipa.it

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis,
Athens 15784, Greece
E-mail address: fragoulop@math.uoa.gr

Department of Applied Mathematics, Fukuoka University,
Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
E-mail address: a-inoue@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Universita di Palermo,
I-90123 Palermo, Italy
E-mail address: trapani@unipa.it